

# FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM-TEACHERS 2017-18

### **CONTENTS**

TITLE	PAGE NUMBER
1. INTRODUCTION	3
2. FEEDBACK COMMITTEE	3
3. FEEDBACK METHODOLOGY	3
4. FEEDBACK PROCESS	4
5. FEEDBACK ANALYSIS OF TEACHERS	4-9
6. FEEDBACK SUMMARY	10



Principal
Bisnop Chulaparambil Memorial College
Kottayam

### 1. INTRODUCTION

For effective curriculum planning and delivery, feedback collection and analysis play a crucial role. In Bishop Chulaparambil Memorial College, the entire feedback on the curriculum is vested in the Feedback Committee constituted by the IQAC. Teachers' feedback on the curriculum portrays the natural strength and weaknesses of the curriculum since teachers are directly involved in various aspects of the curriculum.


### 2. FEEDBACK COMMITTEE (2017-18)

This year's feedback committee consisted of Ms. Ponnu Liz Malieckal (Department of English), Ms. Shalini Thomas Department of Economics), Ms. Jaisy Jory (Department of Chemistry) and Dr. Elizabeth Basil (Department of Zoology). This Committee would be responsible for distributing, collecting, filing, analysing and directing the actions taken based on the feedback. This committee acts as an advisory body to the council, allowing them to make changes based on the feedback collected from the previous year. The collected feedback is analysed and suggestions are made to the committee based on the report.

### 3. FEEDBACK METHODOLOGY

Feedback from the teachers is taken from the questionnaires circulated by the feedback committee. Also, Teachers can express their input on the curriculum during department/council/Academic Advisory Board meetings. After collecting the feedback, it is put for further analysis, and the summary is submitted to IQAC for different implementation. Once the IQAC is convinced of the changes, they put it forward to the Governing Body, where the changes are implemented. The feedback summary report is submitted to MG University also.


TEACHERS' Structured Questionnaire
FEEDBACK
SOURCES
Department/Council/AAB meetings



### 5. FEEDBACK ANALYSIS OF TEACHERS


Total number of responses: 61

### 5.1. SYLLABUS IS SUITABLE TO THE COURSE




50.8% of the teachers strongly agree that 'the syllabus is suitable to the course, 32.8% agree, 9.8% had neutral response and 6.6% disagree with the statement.

### 5.2. SYLLABUS IS NEED BASED




47.5% of the teachers strongly agree that 'the syllabus is need based', 29.5% agree, 14.8% have neutral response and 8.2% disagree with the statement.

# 5.3. AIMS AND OBJECTIVES OF THE SYLLABUS ARE CLEAR AND WELL DEFINED TO TEACHERS AND STUDENTS




62.3% of the teachers strongly agree that 'Aims and Objectives of Syllabus are Clear and Well Defined to Teachers and Students', 26.2% agree, 8.2% have neutral response and 3.3% disagree with the statement.

# 5.4. THE COURSE HAS A GOOD BALANCE BETWEEN THEORY AND PRACTICE




44.3% of the teachers strongly agree that the Course has a Good Balance between Theory and Practice, 26.2% agree, 19.7% have neutral response and 9.8% disagree with the statement.

# 5.5. THE SYLLABUS HELPS THE STUDENTS FACE COMPETITIVE EXAMS




50.9% of the teachers strongly agree, 31.1% agree, 13.1% neutral response and 4.9% disagree with the statement 'The Syllabus Helps the Students Face Competitive Exams'.

# 5.6. THE COURSE/ SYLLABUS HAS MADE THE TEACHER INTERESTED IN THE SUBJECT AREA




For the statement 'The Course/ Syllabus has made the Teacher Interested in the Subject Area' 52.5% of the teachers strongly agree, 32.8% agree, 13.1% have neutral response and 1.6% disagree.

# 5.7. THE COURSE/SYLLABUS HAS INCREASED THE TEACHER'S KNOWLEDGE IN THE SUBJECT AREA




47.5% of the teachers strongly agree that 'the Course/ Syllabus has Increased the Teacher's Knowledge in the Subject Area', 39.4% agree, 8.2% have neutral response and 4.9% degree with the statement.

# 5.8. THE PRESCRIBED TEXTS ARE RELEVANT, UPDATED AND APPROPRIATE




44.3% of the teachers strongly agree that 'the prescribed texts are relevant, updated and appropriate', 32.8% agree, 14.7% have neutral response and 8.2% disagree.

# 5.9. TESTS AND EXAMS CAN BE CONDUCTED WELL IN TIME AND SYLLABUS CAN BE COVERED ON TIME




49.1% of the respondents strongly agree that 'Tests and exams can be conducted well in time and syllabus can be covered on time', 27.9% agree, 16.4% had neutral response and 6.6% disagree.

# 5.10. THE TEACHER HAS THE FREEDOM TO ADOPT NEW TECHNIQUES OF TEACHING SUCH AS SEMINARS, G.D AND PRESENTATIONS



55.7% of the respondents strongly agree that 'the teacher has the freedom to adopt new techniques of teaching such as seminars, GD, presentations etc.', 24.6% agree, 16.4% have neutral response and 3.3% disagree.

# 5.11. THE TEACHER HAS THE FREEDOM TO ADOPT/ADAPT NEW TECHNIQUES FOR TESTING AND EVALUATION



44.3% of the teachers strongly agree that 'The teacher has the freedom to adopt/adapt new techniques for testing and evaluation', 36.1% agree, 13.1% have neutral response and 6.5% disagree with the statement.

### 6. FEEDBACK SUMMARY

Statements	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree
Syllabus is suitable to the course	50.8	32.8	9.8	6.6
Syllabus is need based	47.5	29.5	14.8	8.2
Aims and objectives of the syllabus are clear and well defined to teachers and students	62.3	26.2	8.2	3.3
The course has a good balance between theory and practice	44.3	26.2	19.7	9.8
The syllabus helps the students face competitive exams	50.9	31.1	13.1	4.9
The course/ syllabus has made me interested in the subject area	52.5	32.8	13.1	1.6
The course/syllabus has increased my knowledge in the subject area	47.5	39.4	8.2	4.9
The prescribed texts are relevant, updated and appropriate	44.3	32.8	14.7	8.2
Tests and exams can be conducted well in time and syllabus can be covered on time	49.1	27.9	16.4	6.6
The teacher has the freedom to adopt new techniques of teaching such as seminars, GD, presentations etc.	55.7	24.6	16.4	3.3
The teacher has the freedom to adopt/adapt new techniques for testing and evaluation	44.3	36.1	13.1	6.5

<sup>\*</sup>All figures are in percentage

AMBIL MA

Teachers are highly satisfied with all the aspects of the present curriculum

Principal
Bishop Chulaparambil Memorial College
Kottayam

**10** | Pag